

# Pseudoestrus in pregnant Hanuman langur females: Functional explanations



Stanislav Lhota<sup>1</sup>, Jan Havlíček<sup>2</sup> and Luděk Bartoš<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Zoology, Charles University, Viničná 7, CZ 128 44 Praha 2, Czech Republic  
<sup>2</sup>Department of Philosophy and History of Natural Sciences, Viničná 7, CZ-128 44 Praha 2, Czech Republic  
<sup>3</sup>Ethology Group, Research Institute of Animal Production, Prátelství 815, CZ-104 01 Praha 10 - Uhřetíněves, Czech Republic


## Introduction

Females of Hanuman langurs (*Semnopithecus entellus*)<sup>y</sup> show frequently behavioural estrus (pseudoestrus) during their pregnancy. The pseudoestrus is not likely to be a mere tailing of pre-conception cycles, because of its specific form clearly differing from proper, ovulatory estrus.



Estrus has a cyclic pattern, proceptive periods are separated by anestrus with menstruations, frequency of solicitations is high.

Pseudoestrus in pregnancy lacks the cyclic pattern, it persists continuously for several week's period, no vaginal bleeding occurs, frequency of solicitations is lower than during the proper estrus.



Adapted from Sommer et al. (1992)

Question: How to explain the function of pseudoestrus in pregnancy?

### H1: Prevention of infanticide by new troop males

According to the classical functional explanation by Hrdy (1977), mating with a potentially infanticidal male during female's pregnancy may lead to paternity confusion. Such male is expected to behave as the female's infant sire and not to harm the infant after it is born.

However, the field data strongly suggest that mating with pregnant female does not subsequently affect infanticidal behaviour of the new troop male (Sommer et al. 1992, Borries et al. 1999, our unpublished data). This is in contrast with the data that have shown such effect after mating with non-pregnant females.

### H2: Prevention of infanticide by extratroup males

The question arises, how can the male distinguish pregnant from non-pregnant females. It seems likely that he responds to the temporal pattern of solicitations, described above. This would be possible only in case of regular contact with the female. The data showing no effect of copulations on infanticidal behaviour concerned only established troop member males, who do have such regular contact. However, there is a number of other, extratroup males, who may pose a potential infanticidal threat, too. Supposing it is the temporal pattern of solicitations giving the clue to uncover pregnancy, we suggest that the extratroup males still can get confused.

Specific pattern of pseudoestrus, hard to be explained at the first glance, can be seen in this light to optimize the chance of such "adulterous" matings. Contact with extratroup males is limited for the female and the continuous receptivity can be beneficial for her in such situation.

### H3: Reducing risk of abortion

We are suggesting yet another alternative. The pseudoestrus may serve to reduce male's aggression toward the females. Because the incidence of abortions is higher during the periods of social instability, the pregnant females may have extra benefit from reducing the tensions. This view is supported by observations that pseudoestrus is more likely to occur following male membership changes in troops, which is not consistent with the explanation of pseudoestrus as infanticidal counterstrategy directed to extratroup males.

	Predictions of hypotheses			Field data
	H1 Prevention of infanticide by new troop males	H2 Prevention of infanticide by extratroup males	H3 reducing risk of abortion	
temporary pattern	cyclic (imitating proper estrus)	continuous (maximizing chance of copulation)	continuous (maximizing frequency of copulations)	continuous
social situation dependency	following male immigration	each pregnancy	following male immigration	data inconsistent: each pregnancy or only following male immigration no demonstrable effect
effect of copulations with new troop males on infanticide	reduced risk	no effect	no effect	
effect of copulations with extratroup male on infanticide	no effect	reduced risk	no effect	no data
effect of copulations on rate of abortions	no effect	no effect	reduced rate	no data
	rejected	inconclusive	inconclusive	



## Conclusions

- There are at least three possible explanations concerning the function of the pseudoestrus in pregnant Hanuman langur females.
- At present, the data concerning the functional explanation of pseudoestrus remains inconclusive.
- There is a strong need for data concerning (1) the effect of copulations, in particular with the extratroup males, on the infanticidal behaviour and (2) the social situation dependence of the pseudoestrus.

## References

Borries, C., Launhardt, K., Epplen, C., Epplen, J. T., & Winkler, P. (1999). Males as Infant Protectors in Hanuman Langurs (*Presbytis entellus*) Living in Multimale Groups - Defence Patterns, Paternity and Sexual Behaviour. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 46, 350-356.

Hrdy, S. B. (1977). *Langurs of Abu. Male and Female Reproductive Strategies*. Cambridge: Harward University Press.

Sommer, V., Srivastava, A., & Borries, C. (1992). Cycles, Sexuality, and Conception in Free-Ranging Langurs (*Presbytis entellus*). *American Journal of Primatology*, 28, 1-27.