Towards effective policy and innovation to mitigate the impact of legacy soil phosphorus on waterbodies in NW European agriculture

Fien Amery (Flanders, Belgium)

Donnacha Doody (Northern Ireland, UK)

David Wall (Republic of Ireland)

Paul Withers (England & Wales, UK)

Oscar Schoumans (The Netherlands)

Land Use and Water Quality Conference, Vienna, 21-24 September 2015



AND ECOD DRVILOPMENT AUTHORITY



Need for effective phosphorus (P) policy and innovation

- Many waterbodies in NW Europe have P concentrations > environmental thresholds
- (acy So Ag CO

Current approach for reducing agricultural P losses

- Large variation in NW Europe (no general European P directive)
- Mix of legislation and (voluntary) mitigation measures
- Flanders (Belgium), The Netherlands, Republic of Ireland, Northern Ireland: limitation of P fertilisation to 40-115 (Fl), 50-100 (NL), 0-286 (RI) and 0-250 (NI) kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ depending on
 - crop type
 - measured soil P content

Current approach for reducing agricultural P losses

- England & Wales:
 - No direct P legislation
 - Encouraging and facilitating best practice on farms
 - Catchment-specific voluntary action by farmers
- Denmark:
 - Tax on mineral P in feed
 - Expanding or changing farms draining into Natura 2000 areas overloaded with P have restrictions for the manure P surplus (depending upon the P class)

More effective approach

- <u>Legislation</u> for general limitation of fertilisation
- <u>Mitigation measures</u> for specific high P loads

Maximum acceptable P concentration in a specific water body (ecology, WFD)



Maximum acceptable P content in a soil in the water body catchment



ILVO

- Soil P limit can be differentiated to:
 - Catchment
 - Soil type
 - Hydrological connectivity of the soil with the water body (transport)
- Models and P risk index (scoring system) can help to set soil P limits based on P loss estimates

Maximum acceptable soil P content

Specific P application limits

Measured soil P	Allowed P application
>> soil P limit	<< crop P export
> soil P limit	< crop P export
< soil P limit	> crop P export
<< soil P limit	>> crop P export

- The more factors (soil type, transport) that are taken into account for setting the soil P limits
 - the better directed and more effective
 - the more complex
- Current soil P limits in Flanders, Ireland, Northern Ireland and the Netherlands are
 - not differentiated
 - based on agricultural needs (not environmental)

2. More effective <u>mitigation</u> <u>measures</u>: directed at high P loads

- High P loads: result of high P concentration or high volumes
 - → even low P concentrations can result in high P loads
 Importance of transport and hydrology!
- This approach is especially needed when transport factors are not taken into account in legislation (P limits)
- Identification of high P loads by mechanistic models or P risk index

2. More effective <u>mitigation</u> <u>measures</u>: directed at high P loads

Choice of mitigation measure:

- Site-specific
- Focusing on most important loss factor (source or transport)
- Focusing on main transport route (e.g. buffer strips)
- Cost-effective (e.g. P 'filtration')
- Input from all stakeholders

Results?

- Ultimate goal: P concentration decrease in water bodies
- But: not often observed yet
- Reasons:
 - High soil P reserves >> fertilisation dose and crop
 P export → very slow soil P decrease
 - Legacy P in buffer strips and river sediment
- => Legislation and mitigation measures should not be evaluated by evolution of water body P concentration during the first 20-30 years

Thank you







