



### **Nutrient exchange** between surface water and shallow groundwater and **degradation pathways** of nitrogen species in the North China Plain

B. Brauns, P.L. Bjerg, X. Song, R. Jakobsen LuWQ 2015 - 21 September 2015

Chinese Academy of Sciences Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research

#### 1.1 Introduction: Agriculture in the North China Plain (NCP)



Result: Water scarcity and pollution in surface water (SW) and groundwater (GW)



#### 1.2 Study aims

Study area: Typical wheat-maize field with SW-GW interaction

#### Study aims:

#### 1) Describe local flow dynamics and solute transport

- 2) Assess **temporal water quality changes** regarding inorganic water chemistry and nitrogen
- 3) Evaluate **dominant processes of nutrient removal**, using geochemical modelling with **PHREEQC**





#### 1.3 Setup and sampling

<u>Study area:</u> Typical wheat-maize field with SW-GW interaction ⇒ near Baiyangdian Lake, Hebei Province





₩

#### 1.3 Setup and sampling

 $\oplus$ 

<u>Study area:</u> Typical wheat-maize field with SW-GW interaction ⇒ near Baiyangdian Lake, Hebei Province





#### Soil water samplers (SoilW)

DTU Environment Department of Environmental Engineering



**Chinese Academy of Sciences** 

Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research





#### 1.3 Setup and sampling

#### Sampling period: April 2013 to April 2014 (9 sampling campaigns)



#### 2.1 Water flow and contaminant mass transfer

#### Horizontal flow

- Hydraulic heads
- Movement of Br-tracer into the field
- Temperature gradient
- Similarity of H and O isotopic signature
- Same water type in SW, HZ, GW
- $\Rightarrow$  SW and GW are very well connected
- $\Rightarrow$  Contaminants are transferred from SW into GW

#### Vertical flow

Br applied on surface reaches GW after few months High nitrate concentrations in SoilW after fertilization

- Much faster than expected for silty clay loam
- Macropore flow must take place
- $\Rightarrow$  Nitrate leaching from the field into GW







<u>Nitrate:</u> SoilW up to 134.8 mg/L NO<sub>3</sub>-N => indicates leaching, most NO<sub>3</sub> at GW4

<u>Ammonium</u>: High in SW, low in GW => effective ammonium removal in GW!

DTU Environment Department of Environmental Engineering



#### 2.3 Redox conditions and suggested removal pathways for nitrogen



- > HZ strongly reducing
- > GW slightly reducing



#### Suggested removal mechanisms:

**Nitrate:** Denitrification (slightly reducing conditions, OM), DNRA, anammox? **Ammonium:** Cation exchange (CE) with clay minerals, anammox?



#### 2.4 PHREEQC modelling - part 1

## Build up of nitrate along the flow after ammonium is removed **=>Influence of SW quality on nitrate degradation?**

8.0

<u>Modelled period:</u> March to September 2013

#### Included processes:

- Cation exchange
- Equilibrium for calcite, carbon dioxide, and iron(II)sulfide
- Redox processes
- De-/nitrification
- > Anammox

Nitrate pattern well reflected after it was "allowed to react" with ammonium



Calc. values ■ Inflow into model cell 1 ◆/◇ Meas, value HZ Line A/B ◆/◇ Meas, value GW Line A/B



GN.



Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research





#### =>Nitrate levels might increase if ammonium input into the river is reduced

<u>Comparison:</u> Previous model compared to model with reduced ammonium inputs





#### **Chinese Academy of Sciences**

Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research



- > SW transports pollutants into shallow GW in the BYD area
- The soil system has a good ability to degrade/remove nitrogen, but nitrate is building up at least to some extend
- > Nutrient inputs at the field site are excessive
- Anammox seems to take place not only in the riparian zone, but also in GW
- SW and GW should be treated as one resource and be monitored with the same monitoring scheme to be able to predict changes



### THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION





DTU #

# THANKS!!!











