## **Evidence for deposition of 10 million tonnes of impact** spherules across four continents 12,800 y ago

James H. Wittke<sup>a</sup>, James C. Weaver<sup>b</sup>, Ted E. Bunch<sup>a,1</sup>, James P. Kennett<sup>c</sup>, Douglas J. Kennett<sup>d</sup>, Andrew M. T. Moore<sup>e</sup>, Gordon C. Hillman<sup>f</sup>, Kenneth B. Tankersley<sup>g</sup>, Albert C. Goodyear<sup>h</sup>, Christopher R. Moore<sup>i</sup>, I. Randolph Daniel, Jr.<sup>j</sup>, Jack H. Ray<sup>k</sup>, Neal H. Lopinot<sup>k</sup>, David Ferraro<sup>1</sup>, Isabel Israde-Alcántara<sup>m</sup>, James L. Bischoff<sup>n</sup>, Paul S. DeCarli<sup>o</sup>, Robert E. Hermes<sup>p,2</sup>, Johan B. Kloosterman<sup>q,2</sup>, Zsolt Revay<sup>r</sup>, George A. Howard<sup>s</sup>, David R. Kimbel<sup>t</sup>,

 $Q_{25}$  Robert E. Hermes<sup>1,2</sup>, Johan B. Kloosterman<sup>1,4</sup>, Zsolt Revay', George A. Howard', David R. Kimbel',  $Q_{267}$  Gunther Kletetschka<sup>1,2</sup>, Ladislav Nabelek<sup>1,4</sup>, Carl P. Lipo<sup>w</sup>, Sachiko Sakai<sup>w</sup>, Allen West<sup>x</sup>, and Richard B. Firestone<sup>y</sup>

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

O:8

6

7

8

9

 <sup>a</sup>Geology Program, School of Earth Science and Environmental Sustainability, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011; <sup>b</sup>Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138; <sup>d</sup>Department of Anthropology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802; <sup>e</sup>College of Liberal Arts, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY 14623; <sup>f</sup>Institute of Archaeology, University College London, London, United Kingdom; <sup>g</sup>Departments of Anthropology and Geology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221; <sup>h</sup>South Carolina Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208; <sup>I</sup>Savannah River Archaeological Research Program, South Carolina Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of South Carolina, New Ellenton, SC 29809; <sup>i</sup>Department of Anthropology, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC 27858; <sup>k</sup>Center for Archaeological Research, Missouri State University, Springfield, MO 65897; <sup>I</sup>Viejo California Associates, Joshua Tree, CA 92252; <sup>m</sup>Departamento de Geología y Mineralogía, Edificio U4, Instituto de Investigaciones Metalúrgicas, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicólas de Hidalgo, C. P. 58060, Morelia, Michoacán, México; <sup>n</sup>US Geological Survey, Menlo Park, CA 94025; <sup>o</sup>SRI International, Menlo Park, CA 94025; <sup>P</sup>Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, Q:11 NM 87545; <sup>G</sup>Exploration Geologist, 1016 NN, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; <sup>f</sup>Forschungsneutronenquelle Heinz, Maier-Leinbirtz, Technische Universität Q:12 München, Munich, Germany; <sup>s</sup>Restoration Systems, LLC, Raleigh, NC 27604; <sup>t</sup>Kimstar Research, Fayetteville, NC 28312; <sup>w</sup>Faculty of Science, Charles University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic; <sup>v</sup>Institute of Geology, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, v.v.i., Prague, Czech Republic; <sup>w</sup>Institute for Integrated Q:14 Research in Materials, Environments, and Society, California State University, Long Beach, CA 93106; and <sup>y</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Be

Edited\* by Steven M. Stanley, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, and approved April 9, 2013 (received for review January 28, 2013)

Airbursts/impacts by a fragmented comet or asteroid have been proposed at the Younger Dryas onset (12.80 + 0.15 ka) based on identification of an assemblage of impact-related proxies, including microspherules, nanodiamonds, and iridium. Distributed across four continents at the Younger Dryas boundary (YDB), spherule peaks have been independently confirmed in eight studies, but unconfirmed in two others, resulting in continued dispute about their occurrence, distribution, and origin. To further address this dispute and better identify YDB spherules, we present results from one of the largest spherule investigations ever undertaken regarding spherule geochemistry, morphologies, origins, and processes of formation. We investigated 18 sites across North America, Europe, and the Middle East, performing nearly 700 analyses on spherules using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy for geochemical analyses and scanning electron microscopy for surface microstructural characterization. Twelve locations rank among the world's premier end-Pleistocene archaeological sites, where the YDB marks a hiatus in human occupation or major changes in site use. Our results are consistent with melting of sediments to temperatures >2,200 °C by the thermal radiation and air shocks produced by passage of an extraterrestrial object through the atmosphere; alternately, they are inconsistent with volcanic, cosmic, anthropogenic, lightning, or authigenic sources. We also produced spherules from wood in the laboratory at >1,730 °C, indicating that impact-related incineration of biomass may have contributed to spherule production. At 12.8 ka, an estimated 10 million tons of Q:17 spherules were distributed across ~50 million square kilometers, similar to well-known impact strewnfields and consistent with a major cosmic impact event.

52 Q:18 Clovis–Folsom | lechatelierite | tektite | wildfires

A n increasing body of evidence suggests that major cosmic airbursts/impacts with Earth occurred at the onset of the Younger Dryas (YD) episode, triggering abrupt cooling and causing major environmental perturbations that contributed to megafaunal extinctions and human cultural changes. (Note that "airburst/impact" is used to refer to a collision by a cosmic body with Earth's atmosphere, producing an extremely high-energy aerial disintegration that may be accompanied by numerous small crater-forming impacts by the fragments.) The impact hypothesis originated from observations of peaks in Fe-rich and Al-Si-rich impact spherules, nanodiamonds, and other unusual impact tracers discovered in the Younger Dryas boundary layer (YDB), a sedimentary stratum typically only a few centimeters thick. The hypothesis was first proposed by Firestone et al. (1) and expanded upon by Kennett et al. (2–4), Kurbatov et al. (5), Anderson et al. (6), Israde et al. (7), Bunch et al. (8), and Jones and Kennett (9). Formerly, the date of the impact event was reported as  $10.9 \pm 0.145$  ka (radiocarbon), calibrated as  $12.9 \pm 0.10$  ka B.P., using the then-standard calibration curve IntCal04. (Unless otherwise noted, all dates are presented as calibrated or calendar

#### Significance

In support of a major cosmic impact at the onset of the Younger Dryas episode (12.8 ka), we present detailed geochemical and morphological analyses of nearly 700 spherules from 18 newly examined sites, supported by independent studies. The impact distributed ~10 million tonnes of melted spherules over 50 million square kilometers on four continents. Origins of the spherules by volcanism, anthropogenesis, authigenesis, lightning, and meteoritic ablation are rejected on geochemical and morphological grounds. Derived from surficial sediments at temperatures >2,200 °C, the spherules closely resemble known impact materials. Spherule abundances covary with associated melt-glass, nanodiamonds, carbon spherules, aciniform carbon, charcoal, and iridium.

112 Author contributions: J.H.W., J.C.W., T.E.B., J.P.K., D.J.K., A.M.T.M., G.C.H., K.B.T., A.C.G., Q:19,20 113 D.F., I.I.-A., R.E.H., J.B.K., Z.R., D.R.K., G.K., C.P.L., S.S., A.W., and R.B.F. designed research; 114 J.H.W., J.C.W., T.E.B., J.P.K., D.J.K., A.M.T.M., G.C.H., K.B.T., A.C.G., C.R.M., I.R.D., J.H.R., N.H.L., D.F., I.I.-A., J.L.B., P.S.D., R.E.H., J.B.K., Z.R., G.A.H., D.R.K., G.K., L.N., C.P.L., S.S., 115 A.W., and R.B.F. performed research; J.H.W., J.C.W., T.E.B., J.P.K., D.J.K., A.M.T.M., K.B.T., 116 A.C.G., D.F., I.I.-A., P.S.D., R.E.H., J.B.K., Z.R., G.K., L.N., C.P.L., S.S., A.W., and R.B.F. analyzed data: and J.H.W., J.C.W., T.E.B., J.P.K., D.J.K., A.M.T.M., K.B.T., A.C.G., C.R.M., I.R.D., 117 J.H.R., N.H.L., D.F., I.I.-A., J.L.B., P.S.D., R.E.H., J.B.K., G.A.H., D.R.K., G.K., A.W., and R.B.F. 118 wrote the paper. 119 The authors declare no conflict of interest. 120

*This Direct Submission article had a prearranged editor.
---

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: tbear1@cableone.net. <sup>2</sup>Retired.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1301760110//DCSupplemental. 66 67

68

69

70 71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

121

122

123

124

Q:15

EARTH, ATMOSPHERIC ND PLANETARY SCIENC 125 Fig. 1. YDB impact field, based on data from 27 126 locations. In the YDB strewnfield (red), there are 18 YDB sites in this study (red dots; see table on Right). 127 Eight independent studies have found spherules 128 and/or scoria-like objects at nine additional sites 129 (blue dots) located in Arizona, Montana, New 130 Mexico, Marvland, South Carolina, Pennsylvania, 131 Mexico, and Venezuela. The largest accepted impact strewnfield, the Australasian (purple), is shown 132 for comparison with each strewnfield covering ~50 133 million square kilometers or ~10% of the planet. 134 Table shows location of sites and lists site details (A, 135 archeological material; B, black mat; C, charcoal; M, 136 megafaunal remains, present either at the sampling

137

138

139

140

141

180

181

182

183



location or in the vicinity). Also given are stratigraphic settings (Strat: A, alluvial; C, colluvial; E, eolian; G, glacial; and L, lacustrine) and relative physical stability of depositional paleoenvironments (Env: A, active, e.g., riverine, lacustrine, or eolian; I, inactive).

kiloannum.) Using the most recent curve, IntCal09, the same radiocarbon date calibrates as  $12.8 \pm 0.15$  ka.

Impact-related spherules have long been considered one of 142 the most distinctive proxies in support of this hypothesis. How-143 ever, despite increasing evidence for YDB peaks in impact 144 spherules, their presence and origin remain disputed (10, 11). In 145 Q:23 the latest example of this dispute, Boslough et al. (12) stated that 146 "magnetic microspherule abundance results published by the 147 impact proponents have not been reproducible by other work-148 ers." However, the authors neglected to cite eight independent 149 spherule studies on two continents (shown in Fig. 1) that reported 150 finding significant YDB spherule abundances, as summarized in 151 high-profile previously published papers by Israde et al. (7), Bunch 152 et al. (8), and LeCompte et al. (13). The nine additional sites are 153 located in Arizona (14-16), Montana<sup>†</sup>, New Mexico, Maryland, South Carolina (13), Pennsylvania (17); Mexico<sup>‡</sup>, and Venezuela 154 (18-21). In response to such claims, we here present the results 155 of one of the most comprehensive investigations of spherules 156 ever undertaken to address questions of geochemical and mor-157 phological characteristics, distribution, origin, and processes in-158 volved in the formation of YDB spherules. 159

We refer here to all melted, rounded-to-subrounded YDB 160 objects as spherules. At a few locations, spherules are found in 161 association with particles of melted glass called scoria-like 162 objects (SLOs), which are irregular in shape and composed of 163 highly vesicular, siliceous melt-glass, as described in Bunch et al. (8). Collectively, YDB spherules and SLOs are here referred to 164 as YDB objects. Peaks in spherules were observed at the onset of 165 the YD at 27 sites-18 sites in this study and nine sites in-166 dependently studied in North and South America (Fig. 1). 167 Whereas most independent studies concluded that the YDB 168 spherules formed during a high-temperature cosmic impact 169 event, one study by Surovell et al. (10) was unable to find any 170 YDB spherule peaks at seven sites. However, LeCompte et al. 171 (13) repeated the analyses at three of those sites and verified the 172 previous observations (1), concluding that the inability of Sur-173 ovell et al. (10) to find YDB spherule peaks resulted from not 174 adhering to the prescribed extraction protocol (1, 7). For ex-Q:24 ample, they did not conduct any analyses using scanning electron 175 microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy 176 (EDS), a necessary procedure clearly specified by Firestone et al. 177 (1). In another study, Pigati et al. (14) confirmed the previously 178 reported YDB peak in spherules at Murray Springs, Arizona, 179

and also claimed to find several non-YDB spherule peaks in Chile. However, the Chilean sites are known to contain abundant volcanic spherules (22), and yet Pigati et al. (14) did not perform any analyses of candidate spherules with SEM and EDS, which are crucial for differentiating impact-related YDB spherules from volcanic spherules, detrital magnetic grains, framboids, and other spherule-like particles.

In another study, Pinter et al. (11) claimed to have sampled the YDB layer at a location "identical or nearly identical" with q:25 the location reported by Kennett (2–4), as part of three studies that reported finding no YDB spherules or nanodiamonds (11, 23, 24). However, the published Universal Transverse Mercator coordinates reveal that their purported continuous sequence is actually four discontinuous sections. These locations range in distance from the site investigated by Kennett et al. (2) by 7,000 m, 1,600 m, 165 m, and 30 m (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1*B*), q:26 clearly showing that they did not sample the YDB site of Kennett et al. (2). Furthermore, this sampling strategy raises questions about whether Pinter et al. (11) sampled the YDB at all, and may explain why they were unable to find peaks in YDB magnetic spherules, carbon spherules, or nanodiamonds.

It is widely accepted that spherules form during cosmic impacts (25-29), and spherules also form as ablation products from the influx of meteorites and cosmic dust. However, not all terrestrial spherules are cosmic in origin; abundant spherules commonly occur throughout the geological record due to nonimpact processes. For example, spherules and glass can be produced by continental volcanism (30), hydrovolcanism (31), metamorphism (29), lightning strikes (18, 32), and coal seam fires (32). In addition, detrital magnetite and quartz grains are frequently rounded from wind and water action and may appear spherulitic, as can authigenic framboids, all of which are common in sediments (33). Spherules and melt-glasses can also be produced anthropogenically, especially by coal-fired power plants and smelters (34), although these are normally restricted to surface deposits of industrial age (<300 y old). Al-Si-rich spherules have been produced under laboratory conditions from the combustion of charcoal at ~1,600-2,000 °C (35). Also, numerous spherules and melt-glass have been produced in atomic explosions (36, 37), including the Trinity detonation in New Mexico in 1945, where the airburst produced spherules similar to those from the Tunguska cosmic airburst in 1908 (8, 38). More specifically, the Trinity explosion was a surface burst because the aerial fireball intersected the ground.

In summary, although there are many processes by which spherules can be produced, each type of spherule exhibits a unique set of geochemical, morphological, and/or microstructural characteristics that allow it to be differentiated from impact-related spherules. All types appear similar to YDB spherules under a light microscope, and so, the use of SEM/EDS is crucial for the differentiation of YDB impact spherules from other types. 187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201 202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Baker DW, Miranda PJ, Gibbs KE, Montana evidence for extra-terrestrial impact event that caused Ice-Age mammal die-off, American Geophysical Union Spring Meeting, May Q:22 27–30, 2008, Ft. Lauderdale, FL, abstr P41A-05.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Scruggs MA, Raab LM, Murowchick JS, Stone MW, Niemi TM, Investigation of sediment containing evidence of the Younger Dryas Boundary (YPB) Impact Event, El Carrizal, Baja California Sur, Mexico, Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, vol 42, no. 2, p 101 (abstr).

# PNAS PLUS

328

329

330

EARTH, ATMOSPHERIC, AND PLANETARY SCIENCES

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

### 249 **Results and Discussion**

250 Site Details. To quantitatively investigate YDB spherules, we 251 examined 18 sites across three continents (Fig. 1), selecting most 252 because they contained independently dated chronostratigraphic 253 profiles that spanned the onset of the YD at ~12.8 ka, thus 254 providing identifiable candidate strata for the YDB layer. 255 Investigations of spherules were previously conducted at seven of those sites (1, 10, 11, 13). The stratigraphy, chronology, and ar-256 chaeological significances of each site are summarized in Fig. 1. 257 Also, each of 15 sites is described in detail in *SI Appendix*, Figs. 258 S1–S15; the other three sites were previously described in Bunch 259 et al. (8). 260

The YDB sequences were dated by accelerator-mass spec-261 trometry radiocarbon dating at 11 of 18 sites, and optically Q:27 262 stimulated luminescence (OSL) or thermal luminescence at six 263 others. Eleven new radiometric and OSL dates for four sites are 264 presented here, along with 67 previously published dates for the 265 other sites (SI Appendix, Table S1); most sites are well dated, but several have large uncertainties. The stratigraphic position of the 266 267 YDB for each site is determined from its interpolated age-depth model, and overall, the interpolated ages of the YDB layers are 268 consistent with the revised age of  $\sim 12.8$  ka.

269 Other criteria helped confirm the identification of the YDB 270 layer, including the stratigraphic distribution of archaeological 271 artifacts, found either at the sampling location or in the vicinity 272 for 12 sites, including 10 in North America that contain projectile 273 points and other artifacts from Paleoamerican cultures (Clovis, 274 Folsom, Gainey, and Archaic projectile points are shown in 275 SI Appendix, Figs. S2-S5, S11, S13, and S15); some are well-276 documented Clovis sites, displaying projectile points that es-277 tablish a date range of 12.80-13.25 ka (39). Clovis points have never been found in situ in strata younger than ~12.8 ka. One site 278 was radiometrically undated, but abundant, temporally diagnostic 279 Clovis Paleoamerican artifacts indicated the likely stratigraphic 280 position of the YDB at the top of the artifact layer, as later con-281 firmed by a peak in impact spherules. Furthermore, identification 282 of the YDB layer was aided by visual changes in lithology, including 283 the presence at 12 sites of darker lithologic units, e.g., the "black 284 mat" layer (40), along with charcoal abundance peaks at 11 sites.

285 Across North America, the YDB layer coincides with the ex-286 tinction of late-Pleistocene megafauna, including mammoth 287 (Mammuthus), American horse (Equus), American camel (Cam-288 elops), and dire wolf (Canis dirus), which have never been found in 289 situ in strata <12.8 ky old (40). Megafaunal bones, found either at the sampling location or in the vicinity at five sites, were dated 290 approximately to the YD onset (40) and are associated with 291 temporally diagnostic projectile points. Abu Hureyra, the ar-292 chaeological site in Syria, is unique in representing the origin of 293 domesticated plant cultivation that began at ~12.8 ka, when 294 inhabitants transitioned from hunting-gathering to hunting-cul-295 tivating. The western European locations in Germany, Belgium, 296 and the Netherlands were coeval with the decline near 12.8 ka of 297 the Magdalenian and related cultures, known for their elaborate 298 cave paintings and intricate carvings (6).

299 Bulk sediment was processed in aliquots averaging 228 g (range: 10-1,600 g). On occasion, material was limited, e.g., due 300 301 to use of small-diameter coring tools. For the 15 noncored sites, the YDB layer was contained in samples that averaged 3.9 cm in 302 thickness (median: 5 cm; range: 1–8 cm). At one hand-cored site, 303 the YDB layer was contained in a sample that was 15-cm thick, 304 and the machine-cored sample was 30-cm thick. The burial depth 305 of the YDB layer averaged 2.52 m (median 1.50 m; range: 0.13-306 15.00 m). Sampling details are in SI Appendix, Table S2. 307

Abundances and Compositions of Magnetic Grains. After analyzing
 the magnetic grains and the YD spherules they contain, Fire stone et al. (1) and LeCompte et al. (13) reported strong to

moderate correlation, respectively, between abundances of the two proxies. To test that correlation, we created slurries of bulk sediment and magnetically extracted the magnetic grain fraction. The maximum YDB magnetic grain concentration in bulk sediments ranged from 0.4 to 73.6 g/kg (average: 7.2 g/kg), and in most samples, concentrations decreased outside the YDB to 0.02-8.9 g/kg (average: 2.0 g; SI Appendix, Table S3). Some magnetic grains (but not spherules) were analyzed using neutron activation analysis and prompt gamma activation analysis (SI Appendix, Table S4), indicating they are dominantly comprised of magnetite and titanomagnetite. For seven sites, a peak in magnetic grains coincided with a peak in magnetic spherules, whereas for seven other sites, they were found in immediately adjacent layers. For four sites, they were not adjacent. These results support a moderate correlation between peaks in spherules and magnetic grains, indicating that the YDB layer is enriched in both.

Abundances and Stratigraphic Distribution of Spherules. We investigated abundances of YDB spherules at 18 sites, seven of which were common to Firestone et al. (1) and two to LeCompte et al. (13). We identified and measured 771 YDB objects, of which 684 were spherules and 87 were SLOs. Spherule diameters ranged from 5  $\mu$ m to 5.5 mm (average: 135  $\mu$ m) with ~50%  $\leq$ 30 µm (see distribution in SI Appendix, Fig. S16). YDB SLOs ranged from 300 µm to 11.75 mm, averaging 2.6 mm (8). Concentrations of spherules in the YDB layer varied widely from 5 to 4,900 spherules per kilogram (average: 955/kg; median: 388). At stratigraphic levels more distant above or below the YDB, spherules were absent or rare, indicating that the influx of normal cosmic spherules was negligible. Layers adjacent to the YDB typically contained lower concentrations of spherules, whose presence is most likely due to redeposition and/or bioturbation from the YDB layer. See Fig. 2 for graphs of spherule and SLO concentrations. At every site investigated, the abundance peak in spherules coincided with the YDB depths previously reported, although estimated concentrations varied from those reported by Firestone et al. (1) by an average of 56% (range: 10-160%), and from LeCompte et al. (13) by 94% (range 19–168%), presumably due to variable deposition, preservation, and preparation. All sites investigated exhibited abundance peaks in spherules at or close to ~12.8 ka, as interpolated in the age-depth models.

Morphologies of Magnetic Spherules. To investigate potential formation mechanisms of YDB spherules, we examined their morphologies using light microscopy followed by SEM. Three types of spherulitic objects were typically encountered in the sedimentary profiles: (i) nonimpact, quasi-spherical, detrital magnetite grains that are typically black or dark gray and are common throughout sedimentary profiles; (ii) nonimpact, authigenic framboidal spherules that are typically black, gray, or rusty red in color and are common throughout sediments; and (iii) YDB spherules that are black, brown, red, blue, green, gray, tan, or white, ranging in clarity from opaque to transparent; these were confined to the YDB layer and closely adjacent strata. Light photomicrographs revealed that all three types are rounded and reflective (SI Appendix, Fig. S17). SEM imagery of the rounded detrital magnetite grains indicated that formerly euhedral monocrystals are now rounded but still display remnant faceting, thus eliminating them as impact products. Authigenic framboids appear round when viewed optically, but SEM imaging reveals distinctive blocky surface texturing that results from slow crystalline growth, thus eliminating them as impact spherules. Accurate differentiation of YDB spherules from magnetite grains and framboids is impossible by light microscopy alone and requires the use of SEM/EDS.

There are several accepted groups of melt-products ascribed to known impacts that are relevant to this study; the first is a condensation group, in which glassy impact spherules can con-



Fig. 2. Stratigraphic distribution. Abundances of spherules by site (red lines), plotted on lower x axis in number per kilogram relative to the YDB depth at 0 cm. SLO concentrations (black lines) are plotted on upper x axis in grams per kilogram (SI Appendix, Table S3). Thickness of sample containing YDB is Q:36 indicated by blue bar. Dates for YDB layer are in blue, as determined by age-depth models (SI Appendix, Table S1).

dense from rocks that were vaporized during an impact. Such spherules can appear as multiples (i.e., are accretionary), are typically nonvesicular, and do not contain lechatelierite (27, 41). The second is a melt-and-quench group, in which compressive and frictional heating by the impactor subjected the target rocks and impactor to high temperatures that boiled both of them (41). The liquefied rock was then ejected and aerodynamically shaped into spherules, teardrops, ovoids, and dumbbells that are often vesicular and often contain lechatelierite. Collectively, these are called splash-form tektites or microtektites (8, 27, 41). Most YDB spherules are highly reflective spheroids similar to those in each group, but ~10-20% of them exhibit complex aerodynamic shapes, consistent only with splash-formed microtektites. The shapes and surface textures of all YDB spherules are similar to Q:28 those formed in the Cretaceous-Paleogene extinction (KPg) impact ~65 Ma (28), Chesapeake Bay impact at ~35 Ma (27), Meteor Crater at ~50 ka (8), Tunguska airburst in 1908 (8), and Trinity atomic airburst (8). The similarity of YDB spherules to those from known airbursts (e.g., Tunguska and Trinity) suggests they were caused by an impact/airburst. See SEM images in Fig. 3 and SI Appendix, Figs. S17, S18, S24, and S25. 

Nearly all of the largest YDB spherules (maximum: 5.5 mm) are vesicular, consistent with outgassing at high temperatures, followed by rapid cooling that preserved the gas bubbles, and in some samples formed quench crystals within the bubbles. The prevalence of vesicles decreases with spherule diameter, and most small spherules <50 µm in diameter are solid. All Fe-rich spherules and some Al-rich ones display dendritic crystals on their surfaces, consistent with high-temperature melting and quenching (8). Most Al-Si-rich spherules are smooth, but sometimes display flow marks, or schlieren, along with melted SiO<sub>2</sub> (lechatelierite) inclusions, both indicative of high-temperature melting at >2,200 °C (8). Approximately 10% of YDB spherules display evidence of accretion (nondestructive fusion of two or more spherules) and/or collisions (destructive interactions between two or more spherules) (8). Destructive collisions require high differential velocities between spherules, and therefore, they frequently result from impacts and meteoritic ablation, but not from other processes, such as volcanism and anthropogenesis (8). SEM images of spherules from four sites illustrate the results of both processes (8). Together, the collective shapes, surface textures, and inferred formation temperatures of YDB spherules



Fig. 3. YDB spherules from 18 sites. SEM images illustrate the wide variety of sizes, shapes, and microstructures of YDB spherules. Diameters are in yellow. EDS compositional percentages corresponding to the letter designations of these 36 YDB spherules are in SI Appendix, Table S5. Most spherules are rounded, but there are also dumbbells (D), bottle shapes (H), gourd shapes (J), and ovoids (P). Most small spherules are solid, although a few are hollow (F and J), whereas most large spherules are vesicular and/or hollow (A, E, and M). A large number of spherules were cross-sectioned (n = 137 EDS; A, E, I, and M); all others were analyzed whole (n = 335 EDS). Lechatelierite and flow marks (schlieren) that formed at >2,200 °C were observed in spherules from three sites (A, E, and M). Many large spherules display accretion with other spherules (E, M, and Q) and microcratering by smaller spherules (Q). Interior and exterior compositions of both spherules are similar, but occasionally, Fe-rich material (thin, light-colored bands) migrated or accreted to the outside of the spherule while molten (M). Some spherules have high percentages of TiO<sub>2</sub> (B, L, and R; averaging 42 wt%), inconsistent with anthropogenic and most cosmic origins, but consistent with impact melting of titanomagnetite or ilmenite.

587

588

577

578

616

617

618

619

620

497 are inconsistent with known volcanic or anthropogenic spherules498 but are consistent with impact spherules.

499 Geochemical and Petrological Evidence for Spherule Origin. We 500 conducted 750 SEM/EDS analyses (472 on YDB spherules, 153 501 on SLOs, and 125 on reference materials, including fly ash). 502 Spherules that were  $\geq 50 \ \mu m$  in diameter were typically analyzed 503 both whole and in cross-section (n = 269 EDS). Due to technical 504 difficulties in making cross-sections of very small objects, spher-505 ules  $<50 \ \mu\text{m}$  in diameter were only analyzed whole (n = 203506 EDS). This is consistent with the methodology of Brownlee et al. 0:29 507 (42), who did not obtain cross-sections for the subset of 500 508 cosmic spherules <50 µm in diameter that were recovered from 509 deep-sea sediments, polar ice, and the stratosphere.

SEM/EDS analyses of candidate spherules provided oxide 510 weight percentages (wt%) for 13 elements. Spherule composi-511 tions ranged from homogeneous to heterogeneous, with the 512 three most abundant oxides being iron oxide (expressed as total 513 FeO) with an average of 44.9 wt% (range: 0-100%); silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>) 514 averaged 30.9 wt% (range: 0-95%); and aluminum oxide 515  $(Al_2O_3)$  averaged 12.2 wt% (range: 0-65%). The other 10 oxides 516 ranged from 0.1 to 3.5 average wt% (SI Appendix, Table S6). The 517 oxide concentrations were inferred from normal oxidation states 518 and not measured directly, making it likely that other compounds 519 are also present. EDS compositional percentages for 36 selected spherules, including those in Fig. 3, are in *SI Appendix*, Table S5. 520 Variation diagrams comparing SiO<sub>2</sub> to FeO, CaO, and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are 521 shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S19. Many of the higher oxide con-522 centrations were found in melted mineral inclusions, e.g., Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 523 at 65 wt% appeared as mullite and sillimanite crystals; CaO at 55 524 wt% and P2O5 at 37 wt% as calcium phosphate; MgO at 41 wt% 525 as olivine; and TiO<sub>2</sub> at 70 wt% as ilmenite-rutile. We also 526 compared oxide abundances of YDB spherules with those of 527 SLOs, but found no significant compositional differences, con-528 sistent with formation from similar source minerals (SI Appendix, 529 Fig. S20A). Similarly, we investigated whether SEM/EDS surface 530 analyses were different from those of cross-sections, but found no significant differences (SI Appendix, Fig. S20B), indicating 531 that most individual YDB objects are relatively homogeneous. 532

Our SEM/EDS analyses allow YDB spherules and SLOs to be 533 grouped into two general compositional categories: those 534 enriched in Fe and those enriched in Al-Si, with significant 535 mixing between categories (SI Appendix, Figs. S19A and S21A), 536 e.g., occasionally, Al-Si-rich cores were surrounded by thin high-537 Fe rims. A flowchart illustrating identification parameters for the 538 two types is shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S22. The Fe-rich group is 539 dominated by crystalline minerals requiring high temperatures, including magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, melting point >1,550 °C), hematite 540 541 (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, >1,550 °C), titanomagnetite (Fe<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub>, >1,400 °C), schreibersite [(Fe,Ni)<sub>3</sub>P, >1,400 °C], hercynite (FeAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, >1,700 °C), 542 rutile (TiO<sub>2</sub>, >1,840 °C), native Fe (>2,000 °C), and suessite 543 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Si, >2,300 °C) (8, 35, 43). The Al-Si-rich group is typically 544 represented by minerals such as high-temperature wollastonite 545 (CaSiO<sub>3</sub>, melting point >1,500 °C), corundum (crystalline Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 546 >1,800 °C), mullite  $(3Al_2O_3-2SiO_2 \text{ and } 2Al_2O_3-SiO_2, >1,800 °C)$ , 547 sillimanite (Al<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub> >1,800 °C), and lechatelierite (SiO<sub>2</sub> glass, 548 >2,200 °C for low-viscosity flow) (8, 35, 43). Because YDB objects 549 contain multiple oxides that are not in equilibrium, the liquidus temperatures may be lower than indicated. Even so, the complete 550 assemblage of minerals in YDB objects is inconsistent with non-551 impact terrestrial origins, where maximum temperatures are too 552 low (8). The results are consistent with formation by high-tem-553 perature, hypervelocity airbursts/impacts. 554

Potential Biases Favoring Fe-Rich Spherules. In previous spherule
work, it was observed that the abundance ratio of Fe-rich
spherules to Si-rich ones may suffer from various biases (42).
The first bias is magnetic separation bias, which is known to

decrease the observed number of nonmagnetic, Si-rich ocean spherules, but is estimated to decrease the totals by only  $\sim 10\%$ (42), a negligible bias. YDB spherules  $\geq 200 \ \mu m$  were usually collected by sieving, and therefore unaffected by magnetic bias. However, spherules <200 µm were typically collected using magnets, and therefore the number of Fe-rich spherules was almost certainly enhanced. The second bias is selection bias. When searching for YDB spherules, it is easier to detect small, dark Fe-rich spherules than lighter Si-rich ones (7, 13), creating a potential cognitive bias. However, experiments designed to detect Si-rich spherules suggest that this bias is negligible, estimated at <10%. The third bias is fractionation bias, due to the melting and cooling of impact material. When boiling impact rock is transported rapidly through the atmosphere, FeO tends to migrate to the rim (41) and may ablate as small spherules, potentially increasing the relative percentage of Fe-rich spherules. Finally, there is preservation bias. Fe-rich spherules are less susceptible to chemical or mechanical alteration than Si-rich spherules; this is observed for Si-rich stony meteorites that decompose with a half-life of 10-15 ky in dry areas of continents (44), and in as little as 2 ky in wetter environments, whereas Ni-Fe meteorites decompose far more slowly. After 13 ky on land, the majority of Si-rich YDB objects may have suffered such decomposition, artificially increasing the ratio of Fe to Si spherules.

To investigate potential preservation biases, we plotted FeO concentrations against spherule diameters. For sites with active depositional paleoenvironments (e.g., rivers, streams, and dunes), all YDB objects averaged 86 wt% FeO, whereas sites with less active paleoenvironments had an average of 25 wt% FeO, ~3.4× less (SI Appendix, Fig. S21 B and C and Table S6). For YDB objects <50 µm, FeO was 77 wt%, whereas for YDB objects  $\geq 200 \ \mu m$  in all paleoenvironments, the average FeO abundance was 15 wt%, ~5× less (SI Appendix, Table S6). This disparity reveals that Al-Si-rich spherules are significantly underrepresented both in the <50-µm group and at sites with active paleoenvironments, most likely due to the preferential destruction over time of smaller Al-Si-rich spherules, producing a bias in favor of Fe-rich spherules. We conclude that compositions of spherules and SLOs of ≥200 µm are more representative of parent YDB impact materials, and we use those for comparison below with most reference materials.

Potential Origin of YDB Objects by Cosmic Flux. To explore the hypothetical origin of YDB spherules by meteoritic ablation or cosmic influx (10, 11, 34), we compiled data for known cosmic material. First, we compared elemental abundances of 605 previously reported Al-Si-rich cosmic spherules (all <67 wt% FeO) with Al-Si-rich YDB objects (a subset at <67 wt% FeO, for comparability; Fig. 4A; SI Appendix, Table S6). Most cosmic Si-rich spherules derive from the most common type of cosmic object, stony meteorites, which are enriched in MgO, so that ~98% of those spherules have >10 wt% MgO (average: 29%; range: 1-55%; SI Appendix, Fig. S23A) (45, 46). Similarly, ~90% of grains captured from the near-Earth stony asteroid Itokawa had >10 wt % MgO (47), and cometary material captured from Comet 81P/Wild 2 included extraterrestrial grains that averaged 35 wt% MgO (range: 21–55%) (48). By comparison, only ~0.2% of 626 measurements on YDB spherules had >10% MgO, indicating almost none originated from stony meteorites.

We also compared elemental abundances of 90 Fe-rich cosmic spherules having >63 wt% FeO with YDB objects (subset >63 wt % FeO), but found them to be dissimilar (Fig. 4*B*; *SI Appendix*, Table S6). For example, Ni is common in Ni-Fe meteorites with an average concentration of 10 wt% (range: 5–25 wt%) (49), whereas Ni is depleted 100× in YDB spherules with an average concentration of 0.1 wt% (range: 0–2 wt%). None of the YDB objects (184 EDS cross-sectioned; 441 EDS whole) have concentrations of Ni of >1 wt%, demonstrating a poor match for Ni-



Fig. 4. Comparison of oxide weight percentages; red line marks equivalent values. YDB spherule subsets are in same range for comparability. (A) Comparison with Si-rich cosmic spherules (<67 wt% FeO). (B) Comparison with Fe-rich cosmic spherules (>63 wt%). (C) YDB objects ≥200 µm compared with meteorites and micrometeorites. Some values differ by >10x. Data in *SI Appendix*, Tables S6 and S7.

Fe meteorites. We also compared elemental abundances of YDB objects ≥200 µm with 262 different meteorites and micrometeorites, finding a poor match (Fig. 4C; SI Appendix, Table S6). Furthermore, most meteorites except, for example, those from the Moon and Mars, have low percentages of TiO<sub>2</sub>, averaging >0.14 wt%. YDB spherules with diameter <50 µm averaged 5.0 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub>, or 35× higher, and 26 of those had average TiO<sub>2</sub> of 41 wt% (range: 12-70 wt%), including those exhibited in Fig. 3 B, R, and L. Together, the geochemical comparisons indicate that the vast majority of YDB objects are unlikely to have formed from material found in known stony asteroids, Ni-Fe meteorites, or comets, but that does not preclude formation of spherules from target rocks during impacts by such objects.

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638 639

640

641

642

651

661

643 We compared abundances of YDB spherules with the influx 644 rate of cosmic spherules observed in the Antarctic ice sheet. The 645 1991 European Meteorite Collection Program Antarctic Expe-646 dition discovered an average of only one cosmic spherule in 67 647 kg (0.015 spherules per liter) (50) of continuously deposited 648 preindustrial ice. In contrast, the average value for the YDB 649 layer is 955 spherules per kilogram, or 67,000× higher. Similarly, Badyukov et al. (51) calculated the terrestrial flux rate of cosmic 650 spherules at one spherule per square centimeter of Earth's surface per 1-2 million years. For the YDB layer with an average 652 density of 6.3 spherules per square centimeter, this flux rate 653 would require >6 million years to produce the observed accu-654 mulation of YDB spherules. As one proposed explanation, some 655 researchers (10, 11, 34) have countered that the apparent con-656 centrations of YDB spherules may result from formation of lag 657 deposits that accumulated over thousands to millions of years on 658 a geologically stable surface. However, based on age-depth 659 models for YDB sites that show no significant hiatuses, and 660 based on the paucity of spherules outside of the YDB, that hypothesis is not supported by the age-depth models in SI Ap*pendix*, Figs. 1–15. Cosmic spherules appear to comprise an 662 extremely small percentage of YDB spherules. 663

664 Potential Anthropogenic Origin of YDB Spherules. To evaluate the 665 proposed anthropogenic origin of YDB materials (34), we 666 studied one of the most common industrial contaminants, fly ash 667 grains (n = 143 EDS) and anthropogenic spherules (n = 42)668 EDS) from 13 countries in North America and Europe. If YDB 669 spherules are anthropogenic, then they are young and would not 670 have experienced degradation of Si-rich spherules in sediment; 671 consequently, we compared the anthropogenic material to all YDB spherules and SLOs. YDB objects contain more Fe  $(5\times)$ , 672 Cr  $(9\times)$ , and Mn  $(\times 5)$  than fly ash and related spherules, and 673 thus are unlikely to be anthropogenic (Fig. 5A). Additionally, 674 most YDB layers were located at depths of 2-15 m, and great 675 care was taken during sample collection to reduce the possibility 676 of anthropogenic contamination. Furthermore, millimeter-sized 677 airborne objects tend to fall out of the atmosphere close to their 678 source (8), and there are no major anthropogenic sources suffi-679 ciently close to most of the 18 study sites. Therefore, YDB 680 spherules with diameters of up to 5.5 mm are inconsistent with 681 long-range atmospheric transport of anthropogenic materials. In 682 addition, when temporally diagnostic cultural artifacts and/or

megafaunal remains were present at sampling locations, there was no indication of displacement of the YDB layers, indicating that contamination by modern materials is unlikely. We conclude that the majority of YDB spherules were found in situ, and that anthropogenic glass or spherules represent a small percentage of the assemblage, if any.

Potential Volcanic Origin of YDB Spherules. We compiled >10,000 compositional analyses of volcanic glass and spherules from sites in four oceans. Compositions of YDB objects ≥200 µm are higher in oxides of Cr  $(8\times)$  and K  $(11\times)$  and lower in Mg  $(3\times)$ and Na  $(2\times)$  than volcanic material, and thus are geochemically dissimilar (Fig. 5B). YDB compositions are also enriched in K (89×) and P (37×) over mantle material (52). This poor correspondence indicates that YDB objects are not comprised of volcanic or mantle material (Fig. 5C). The YDB layers and contiguous strata at 18 sites also do not contain visible tephra or volcanogenic silica (tridymite) that typically occurs as bipyramidal euhedral crystals. In summary, it is unlikely that a volcanic eruption could have deposited millions of tons of volcanic spherules across a 12,000-km-wide region without leaving any other mineralogical, geochemical, or geological evidence.

Potential Origin of YDB Spherules by Lightning. Another hypothesis for spherule formation is that the YDB spherules formed through atmospheric lightning discharges (53). Besides cosmic impact, lightning is the only documented process that can account for lechatelierite inside YDB spherules (54). Such discharges generate intense magnetic fields, and after rapid cooling of lightning-melted spherules, strong magnetic characteristics should remain (53, 55, 56). Even though formation by lightning is unlikely given the wide geographical distribution of YDB spherules and the paucity of lightning melt products (e.g., fulgurites) above, below, or inside the YDB, we measured the magnetic characteristics of YDB spherules from two sites: Gainey, Michigan, and Blackwater Draw, New Mexico. To preserve the spherules original magnetic state, nonmagnetic separation techniques were used (heavy liquids), followed by nonmagnetic, mechanical separation that was performed using sieves of various sizes ( $\sim$ 37, 44, 74, and 149 µm). The separates were cleaned of excess clay using ultrasonication and then analyzed under an optical microscope. When candidate spherules were identified, they were manually placed on glass plates and examined using SEM. Remanent magnetization in the spherules was measured using a magnetic scanner and a superconducting magnetometer. There was no excess magnetization of the spherules while in Earth's ambient geomagnetic field (50  $\mu$ T). However, after being subjected to a powerful laboratory-generated magnetic field (1 T), the YDB spherules displayed substantial remanent magnetization, indicating their ability to become magnetized toward saturation (SI Appendix, Fig. S24). These results are consistent with the hypothesis that when the spherules formed during an extraterrestrial impact, they were subjected only to the ambient geomagnetic field, and exclude the possibility that these spherules formed during lightning discharges.

834

835

836

823

824

825

826

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868



**Evidence for an Impact Origin of YDB Spherules.** If an impact occurred at the YD onset, then YDB spherules should be geochemically similar to terrestrial rocks and sediment, and to investigate that, we compared spherule compositions with those of >100,000 samples of terrestrial sediments and minerals from across North America, including sedimentary, igneous, and metamorphic rocks from the US Geological Survey National Geochemical Database (57, 58). YDB spherules are compositionally similar to surficial sediments and metamorphic rocks, e.g., mudstone, shale, gneiss, schist, and amphibolite (Fig. 6*A*; *SI Appendix*, Table S6), which suggests that YDB objects formed by the melting of heterogeneous surficial sediments comprised of weathered metamorphic and other similar rocks, consistent with a cosmic impact, in which the impactor contributed an unknown percentage of material.

We also reviewed >1,000 analyses of impact-related material, including spherules and tektites—melt-glasses that typically contain lechatelierite—to compare the YDB event with 12 known craters and strewnfields on six continents. Some melt-glasses (Argentine Escoria and Dakhleh glass) are morphologically similar to YDB SLOs (8), whereas other types are not (Australasian tektites and moldavites from Ries Crater). Most tektites are derived from melted surficial sediments and/or metamorphic rocks, typically comprised of silicates, limestone, shale, and/or clay (59, 60). The compositions of YDB objects are different from the KPg and Chesapeake Bay impactites, but similar to Ivory Coast tektites (Fig. 6B), Argentine Escoria (Fig. 6C), Tasman Sea tektites (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S23B), and Tunguska spherules (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S23C and Table S6).

YDB spherules and SLOs also are morphologically and compositionally similar to spherule-rich materials, called trinitite, produced by the melting of surficial sediments by two nuclear aerial detonations (8). One detonation was at the Trinity site near Socorro, New Mexico (36, 37), and the other at Yucca Flat, Nevada (61); both were near-surface airbursts rather than below-ground detonations. Trinity produced highly abundant spherules from a crater that was 80 m wide and 1.4 m deep, providing an analog for a cosmic airburst/impact (8). The thermal pulse and air shock were produced by different mechanisms (a rapidly moving cosmic object vs. a pulse of atomic radiation), but even so, the resulting melted material is indistinguishable.

To investigate the thermochemical history of the spherules, we reviewed the work of Elkins-Tanton et al. (41, §), who analyzed cross-sectioned spherules from five known impact events (Australasian, Ries, Bosumtwi, Chesapeake Bay, and Popigai) and found that each spherule displayed compositional gradients between the rim and center. The authors argued that the gradients resulted from two processes, the first of which, vaporization, occurred when surface tension shaped boiling impact rock into spherules, after which constituent oxides vaporized at varying rates. Refractory oxides, such as MgO (boiling point: 3,600 °C), FeO (3,414 °C), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (2,980 °C), and CaO (2,850 °C) (43)

**Fig. 5.** Comparison of compositions of YDB spherules and SLOs with (*A*) anthropogenic spherules and fly ash. (*B*) YDB objects  $\geq$ 200 µm compared with volcanic glass and spherules, and (C) material from Earth's mantle. Red dashed line marks equivalent values. Note that some values differ by more than an order of magnitude. Data shown are in *SI Appendix*, Tables S6 and S7.

reached their boiling points later and became enriched toward the rim. Conversely, SiO<sub>2</sub> (2,230 °C) and Na<sub>2</sub>O (1,950 °C) usually were depleted toward the rim because of their lower boiling points. The second process, condensation, occurred as various oxides or elements condensed from their vapor state to form spherules. According to Elkins-Tanton et al. (41), condensation had the opposite effect on composition, because highertemperature oxides condensed from vapor to liquid earliest as plume temperatures fell, producing enrichment at the center of the spherule, and lower-temperature oxides or elements condensed from vapor last, becoming enriched toward the rim. For condensation, the presence of a reverse gradient implies that temperatures of the melted rock possibly were >3,600 °C, the boiling point of MgO.

To investigate whether compositional gradients are present in YDB spherules, we acquired data on 4–5 points along a radius from center to rim of cross-sectioned spherules from three sites (Abu Hureyra, Blackville, and Melrose). For 11 of the 13 spherules analyzed (85%), there were discernible gradients of oxide values (Fig. 7; SI Appendix, Fig. S25 and Table S8): 7 of 13 displayed generally decreasing trends for SiO<sub>2</sub>, indicating boiling; 4 of 13 displayed increasing trends for SiO<sub>2</sub>, suggesting condensation; and 2 of 13 displayed no clear gradients. Oxides with abundances of less than a few percent were more variable, presumably due to high analytical uncertainties. Nearly all oxides behaved predictably, but occasionally, one or more oxides displayed an opposite trend to that predicted, for reasons that are unclear. Several spherules displayed a distinct high-Fe shell a few microns thick surrounding an Al-Si interior, presumably due to condensation, ablation, or accretion. The presence of both increasing and decreasing gradients in YDB spherules suggests that there were sufficiently high temperatures and flight times for vaporization and condensation to occur. No plausible process besides a cosmic airburst/impact is capable of boiling or vaporizing airborne rock at >2,200 °C long enough to produce millimeter-sized spherules that display compositional gradients.

**Possible Spherule Formation by Impact-Related Wildfires.** Burleigh and Meeks (35) reported the formation of glassy spherules by combustion of wood charcoal, and speculated that temperatures >2,000 °C were required for optimum spherule production. We explored this possible origin for YDB spherules by conducting wood-burning laboratory experiments using an oxygen/propylene burner with a maximum temperature of ~2,900 °C; temperatures were confirmed using pyrometry. For the wood source, we used dried twigs of oak (*Quercus turbinella*) and pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) with a diameter of 0.5–1.0 cm.

At temperatures of ~1,600 °C, the flame transformed the wood into charcoal and then rapidly to whitish-gray ash. At ~1,730 °C, the melting point of SiO<sub>2</sub>, the ash began to melt and transform by surface tension into spherules that were ejected from the twig by flame pressure (Fig. 8 *A* and *B*). Spherule production increased up to 2,600 °C, the maximum temperature measured. Within a few minutes, a small twig (6 × 0.5 cm) produced >600 spherules, ranging in diameter from 30 to 700  $\mu$ m, with the majority at the lower end of that range (50–80  $\mu$ m).

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Elkins-Tanton LT, Kelly DC, Bico J, Bush JWM, Microtektites as vapor condensates, and a possible new strewn field at 5 Ma. Thirty-Third Annual Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, March 11–15, 2002, Houston, TX, abstr 1622.



Fig. 6. Comparison of composition of YDB spherules and SLOs at  $\geq$ 200  $\mu$ m with (A) metamorphic rocks; (B) lvory Coast tektites; and (C) Argentine Escoria. Red dashed line represents equivalent values. Data shown are in *SI Appendix*, Tables S6 and S7.

Of the original weight of oak and pine, ~97% was transformed into water vapor and other gases, ~1% remained as ash, and ~2% by weight of spherules were formed from biogenic silica and other trace mineral oxides (e.g., Al, Si, and Ca). Most of the melted objects formed as spherules, although a small percentage (<5%) formed as aerodynamically shaped teardrops and ovoids; often, multiple spherules fused together. Colors included black, brown, red, blue, green, gray, tan, and white, with clarity ranging from opaque to transparent. Nearly all spherules are highly vesicular, and some are hollow with a thin glass shell (Fig. 8*C*); some display flow marks or schlieren consistent with high temperatures and low viscosity, as seen in YDB spherules. Average compositions of oak and pine closely match compositions of Al-Si-rich YDB objects (Fig. 8*D*). Although we observed inclusions of up to 75 wt% FeO, we found no complete high-Fe



Fig. 7. Melrose spherule with diameter in yellow, showing approximate radial traverse for seven EDS analyses. Refractory oxides (AI, Ca, Fe, and Mg) increase toward rim; less-refractory oxides (Si and K) decrease toward rim. Na weight percentages are mixed, but generally decrease. These variations are consistent with boiling rock at temperatures of ~2,200 °C to 3,600 °C. Data are in *SI Appendix*, Table S8.

spherules with Fe quench crystals. These results show that the incineration of biomass at ~1,730–2,600 °C can produce glassy spherules, and we conclude that a significant percentage of YDB Al-Si–rich spherules could have formed by the impact-related incineration of biomass, but not the high-Fe spherules. The requisite temperature of 1,730 °C is above the maximum temperature ever recorded in a wildfire (8).

Geographical Distribution of Spherules. There are three major impact strewnfields, the largest of which is the 780,000-y-old Australasian tektite field, spanning 50 million square kilometers (Fig. 1; Table 1) (25, 26). Another is the 35-million-y-old North American tektite field, covering 42 million square kilometers and associated with the 85-km-wide Chesapeake Bay crater (25, 26). The last strewnfield is the 970,000-y-old Ivory Coast field, covering 4 million square kilometers and associated with the 10.5km-wide Bosumtwi crater in Ghana, Africa (Table 1) (25, 26). Although the maximum extent of the YDB field has not yet been established, its known extent is ~50 million square kilometers, making it 10× larger than the smallest field in Table 1 and equal in size to the largest one, the Australasian field (Fig. 1). Using standard calculations for determining the mass of spherules deposited in an impact strewnfield (25, 26), and assuming that currently observed average values are representative of the entire field, we estimate the mass of the YDB field to equal  $\sim 10$ million tons; this is approximately half the tonnage of the Ivory Q:30 Coast field associated with the Bosumtwi Crater (10.5 km wide), thus implying a major impact event (Table 1; computational variables in SI Appendix, Table S9).

Preliminary Impact Model. At present, there are insufficient data to characterize the YDB impactor and impact event, and more research is required to determine the type and size of impactor, maximum extent of the strewnfield, and abundances of minor elements. However, existing data suggest the following scenario, as also discussed by Israde et al. (7). The impactor was most likely an asteroid or comet greater than several hundred meters in diameter with maximum size unknown, but probably less than several kilometers in diameter. The impactor most likely broke apart in solar orbit before encountering Earth, as do most comets (62), including Comet Shoemaker-Levy 9, which impacted Jupiter as multiple fragments, the largest of which was ~1 km in diameter. When fragments of the YDB impactor entered Earth's atmosphere, they fragmented even further, yielding multiple atmospheric airbursts that each produced shock fronts. This multi-impact scenario is supported by two lines of evidence: first, the concentrations of multimillimeter-sized YDB melt-glass and spherules in Syria, Pennsylvania, South Carolina (8, 17), Arizona (15), and Venezuela (18-21) are separated by up to 12,000 km. Such multimillimeter-sized, aerodynamically shaped objects are probably too large to have traveled 12,000 km during a single airburst/impact (8). Second, the compositions of YDB objects differ substantially between regions, as indicated in SI Appendix, Fig. S26, showing that YDB objects from the relatively





1082

1074

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116



1001Fig. 8.Al-Si-rich laboratory spherules made at >1,730 °C. (A) Micrograph of oak spherules; largest = 350 µm. (B) SEM image of same oak spherule group. (C)1002SEM image of pine spherules; largest = 220 µm. (D) YDB objects ≥200 µm compared with Al-Si-rich oak and pine. Red dashed line represents equivalent values.1003Data shown are in SI Appendix, Tables S6 and S7.

1005
1006
1007
1007
1008
108
108
109
1008
1007
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008
1008

1009 Beneath the flight path of the impactor fragments, thermal 1010 radiation from the air shocks was intense enough to melt Fe-rich 1011 and Si-rich surficial sediments, transforming them into lechatelierite-rich melt-glass and spherules at >2,200 °C. Multiple air-1012 bursts/impacts over a wide area can account for the heterogeneity 1013 of the melt materials. In addition, high temperatures may have 1014 produced produce spherules and melt-glass by incinerating vege-1015 tation within the fireballs and shock fronts. High-velocity winds 1016 and attenuated air shocks lofted the melted material into the 1017 upper atmosphere, where high-altitude winds transported them 1018 over a wide area. As previously suggested (7), nanodiamonds 1019 potentially formed from vaporized carbon within localized, tran-1020 siently anoxic regions of the shock front. This impact model is 1021 speculative because the exact nature of airbursts is poorly con-1022 strained. For example, the complexity of airburst phenomena is 1023 only hinted at by the recent hydrocode modeling of Boslough and Crawford (63), who concluded that more realistic airburst simu-1024 lations are needed to understand the phenomenon. 1025

#### Methods

1026

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1004

1027 To determine replicability of the protocol for magnetic grain and spherule 1028 extractions, various samples were processed by nine coauthors (J.P.K., D.J.K., 1029<sub>Q:31</sub> D.F., I.-I.A., H.K., Z.R., D.R.K., G.K., and A.W.), using previously published pro-1030 tocol (1, 7, 13). After size-sorting with multiple American Society for Testing and Materials screens, we used a 150-300× reflected light microscope to 1031 manually count, photograph, and extract selected spherules. Next, cross-1032 sectioned and whole spherules were examined by 10 coauthors (J.H.W., J.C.W., 1033 T.E.B., J.P.K., D.J.K., I.-I.A., J.L.B., R.E.H., G.K., and A.W.), using SEM and EDS to 1034 distinguish between impact-related spherules and other types. To ensure 1035 acquisition of correct bulk compositions of spherules, EDS analyses were acquired multiple times and/or at large beam spot sizes of  $\sim$ 30  $\mu$ m. A flow-1036 chart illustrating identification parameters is in SI Appendix, Fig. S22. Standard 1037 techniques were followed for all analytical methods (SI Appendix, SI Methods). 1038

#### 1039 Conclusions

1040The analyses of 771 YDB objects presented in this paper strongly1041support a major cosmic impact at 12.8 ka. This conclusion is1042substantiated by the following:

1043Spherules and SLOs are (i) widespread at 18 sites on four1044continents; (ii) display large abundance peaks only at the YD1045onset at ~12.8 ka; (iii) are rarely found above or below the YDB,

1046 1047

1047Table 1. Comparison of tonnage in the YDB strewnfield with1048known impact strewnfields

Age	${\sim}\%$ of Earth	Size, km <sup>2</sup>	Metric tons	Source	
~35 Ma	8	$4.2  imes 10^7$	$100 \times 10^7$	19	
~780 ka	10	$5.0  imes 10^7$	$10 \times 10^{7}$	18	
~970 ka	1	$0.4  imes 10^7$	$2 \times 10^{7}$	18	
~12.9 ka	10	$5.0 \times 10^{7}$	$1 \times 10^{7}$	This worl	
	Age ~35 Ma ~780 ka ~970 ka ~12.9 ka	Age         ~% of Earth           ~35 Ma         8           ~780 ka         10           ~970 ka         1           ~12.9 ka         10	Age $\sim \%$ of Earth         Size, km <sup>2</sup> $\sim 35$ Ma         8 $4.2 \times 10^7$ $\sim 780$ ka         10 $5.0 \times 10^7$ $\sim 970$ ka         1 $0.4 \times 10^7$ $\sim 12.9$ ka         10 $5.0 \times 10^7$	Age~% of EarthSize, $km^2$ Metric tons~35 Ma8 $4.2 \times 10^7$ $100 \times 10^7$ ~780 ka10 $5.0 \times 10^7$ $10 \times 10^7$ ~970 ka1 $0.4 \times 10^7$ $2 \times 10^7$ ~12.9 ka10 $5.0 \times 10^7$ $1 \times 10^7$	

indicating a single rare event; and (iv) amount to an estimated 10 million tons of materials distributed across ~50 million square q:32 kilometers of several continents, thus precluding a small localized impact event.

Spherule formation by volcanism, anthropogenesis, authigenesis, and meteoritic ablation can be rejected on geochemical, morphological, and/or thermochemical grounds, including the presence of lechatelierite (>2,200 °C).

Spherule formation by lightning can be eliminated due to magnetic properties of spherules and the paucity of lightning melt-products (e.g., fulgurites) above or below the YDB.

Morphologies and compositions of YDB spherules are consistent with an impact event because they (*i*) are compositionally and morphologically similar to previously studied impact materials; (*ii*) closely resemble terrestrial rock compositions (e.g., clay, mud, and metamorphic rocks); (*iii*) often display hightemperature surface texturing; (*iv*) exhibit schlieren and SiO<sub>2</sub> inclusions (lechatelierite at >2,200 °C); (*v*) are often fused to other spherules by collisions at high-temperatures; and (*vi*) occasionally display high-velocity impact cratering.

High-temperature incineration of biomass (>1,730 °C) produced laboratory spherules that are similar to YDB spherules, providing a complementary explanation that some unknown percentage of YDB spherules may have formed that way.

Abundances of spherules covary with other YDB impact proxies, including nanodiamonds, high-temperature melt-glass, carbon spherules, aciniform carbon, fullerenes, charcoal, glasslike carbon, and iridium.

The geographical extent of the YD impact is limited by the range of sites available for study to date and is presumably much larger, because we have found consistent, supporting evidence over an increasingly wide area. The nature of the impactor remains unclear, although we suggest that the most likely hypothesis is that of multiple airbursts/impacts by a large comet or asteroid that fragmented in solar orbit, as is common for nearly all comets. The YD impact at 12.8 ka is coincidental with major environmental events, including abrupt cooling at the YD onset, major extinction of some end-Pleistocene megafauna, disappearance of Clovis cultural traditions, widespread biomass burning, and often, the deposition of dark, carbon-rich sediments (black mat). It is reasonable to hypothesize a relationship be-Q:33 tween these events and the YDB impact, although much work remains to understand the causal mechanisms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We are grateful for receiving crucial samples, data, and/or assistance from William Topping, Vance Haynes, Joanne Dickinson, Don Simons, Scott Harris, Malcolm LeCompte, Mark Demitroff, Yvonne Malinowski, Paula Zitzelberger, and Lawrence Edge. Bulk sample collection and/or preparation for various sites were conducted by Brendan Culleton, Carley Smith, and Karen Thompson. Dustin Thompson produced an agedepth plot for the Big Eddy site. Ferdi Geerts, Ab Goutbeek, and Henri Jutten provided field assistance in the Netherlands and Belgium. The assistance and support of Keith Hendricks of Indian Trail Caverns (Sheriden Cave), Brian Redmond, and the Cleveland Museum of Natural History are greatly appreciated, as are the suggestions of four anonymous reviewers. Support for this study was provided by the Court Family Foundation, Charles Phelps

- 1117 Taft Foundation, and University of Cincinnati Research Council (K.B.T.); Foundation Grants ATM-0713769 and OCE-0825322, Marine Geology and US Department of Energy Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231 and US Na-Geophysics (to J.P.K.); and Ministry of Education Youth and Sports Grant 1118 tional Science Foundation Grant 9986999 (to R.B.F.); US National Science LK21303 (to G.K.). 1119 1120 1. Firestone RB, et al. (2007) Evidence for an extraterrestrial impact 12,900 years ago 31. Mukhopadhvav R. Iver SD. Ghosh AK (2002) The Indian Ocean nodule field: Petrotectonic 1121 that contributed to the megafaunal extinctions and the Younger Dryas cooling. Proc evolution and ferromanganese deposits. Earth Sci Rev 60:67-130. 1122 Natl Acad Sci USA 104(41):16016-16021. 32. Thy P (1995) Implications of prehistoric glassy biomass slag from east-central 1123 2. Kennett DJ, et al. (2008) Wildfire and abrupt ecosystem disruption on California's Botswana. J Archaeol Sci 22:629-637. Northern Channel Islands at the Ållerød-Younger Dryas boundary (13.0-12.9 ka). 33. Hein JR, Griggs GB (1972) Distribution and scanning electron microscope (SEM) 1124 O:35 Quat Sci Rev 27:2530-2545. observations of authigenic pyrite from a Pacific deep-sea core. Deep Sea Res 19(2): 1125 3. Kennett DJ, et al. (2009) Shock-synthesized hexagonal diamonds in Younger Dryas 133-138. 34. Del Monte M, Sabbioni C (1984) Morphology and mineralogy of fly ash from a coalboundary sediments. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106(31):12623-12628. 1126 fueled power plant. Arch Met Geoph Biocl B 35(1-2):93-104. 4. Kennett DJ, et al. (2009) Nanodiamonds in the Younger Dryas boundary sediment 1127 layer. Science 323(5910):94. 35. Burleigh R, Meeks N (1986) Glassy microspherules from bomb combustion of charcoal. 1128 5. Kurbatov AV, et al. (2011) Discovery of a nanodiamond-rich layer in the Greenland ice Radiocarbon 28(1):165-166. sheet. J Glaciol 56:749-759. 36. Eby N, Hermes R, Charnley N, Smoliga JA (2010) Trinitite-the atomic rock. Geol 1129 6. Anderson DG, et al. (2011) Multiple lines of evidence for possible Human population Today 26:181-186. 1130 37. Hermes RE, Strickfaden WB (2005) A new look at trinitite. Nucl Weap J 2:2-7. decline/settlement reorganization during the early Younger Dryas. Quat Int 242: 1131 570-593. 38. Wasson JT (2003) Large aerial bursts: An important class of terrestrial accretionary 7. Israde-Alcántara I, et al. (2012) Evidence from central Mexico supporting the Younger events, Astrobiology 3(1):163-179. 1132 39. Waters MR, Stafford TW, Jr. (2007) Redefining the age of Clovis: Implications for the Dryas extraterrestrial impact hypothesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109(13):E738-E747. 1133 8. Bunch TE, et al. (2012) Very high-temperature impact melt products as evidence for peopling of the Americas. Science 315(5815):1122-1126. 40. Haynes CV, Jr. (2008) Younger Dryas "black mats" and the Rancholabrean cosmic airbursts and impacts 12,900 years ago. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109(28): 1134 E1903-E1912. termination in North America. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105(18):6520-6525. 1135 9. Jones TL, Kennett DK (2012) . A land impacted? The Younger Dryas boundary event in 41. Elkins-Tanton LT, Aussillous P, Bico J, Quere D, Bush JWM (2003) A laboratory model 1136 California. Contemporary Issues in California Archaeology, eds Jones TL, Perry JE (Left of splash-form tektites. Meteorit Planet Sci 38(9):1331-1340. Coast, Walnut Creek, CA), pp 37-48. 42. Brownlee DE, Bates B, Schramm L (1997) The elemental composition of stony cosmic 1137 10. Surovell TA, et al. (2009) An independent evaluation of the Younger Dryas spherules. Meteorit Planet Sci 32:157-175. 1138 extraterrestrial impact hypothesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106(43):18155–18158. 43. Kracek FC (1963) Melting and transformation temperatures of mineral and allied 11. Pinter N, et al. (2011) The Younger Dryas impact hypothesis: A requiem. Earth Sci Rev substances. Geological Survey Bulletin 1144-D (US Government Printing Office, 1139 106:247-264. Washington, DC). 1140 12. Boslough MBE, et al. (2012) Arguments and evidence against a Younger Dryas Impact 44. Jull AJT, Donahue DJ, Cielaszyk E, Wlotzka F (1993) Carbon-14 terrestrial ages and 1141 Event. Geophys Monogr Ser 198:13-26. weathering of 27 meteorites from the southern high plains and adjacent areas (USA). 13. LeCompte MA, et al. (2012) Independent evaluation of conflicting microspherule Meteoritics 28(2):188-195. 1142 results from different investigations of the Younger Dryas impact hypothesis. Proc 45. Taylor S, Brownlee DE (1991) Cosmic spherules in the geologic record. Meteoritics 26: 1143 Natl Acad Sci USA 109(44):E2960-E2969, 10.1073/pnas.1208603109. 203-211. 1144 46. Taylor S, Lever JH, Harvey RP (2000) Numbers, types, and compositions of an unbiased 14. Pigati JS. et al. (2012) Accumulation of impact markers in desert wetlands and implications for the Younger Dryas impact hypothesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109(19): collection of cosmic spherules. Meteorit Planet Sci 35:651-666. 1145 7208-7212. 47. Nakamura E. et al. (2012) Space environment of an asteroid preserved on micrograins 1146 15. Fayek M, Anovitz LM, Allard LF, Hull S (2012) Framboidal iron oxide: chondrite-like returned by the Hayabusa spacecraft. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109(11):E624-E629. 48. Flynn GJ, et al. (2006) Elemental compositions of comet 81P/Wild 2 samples collected 1147 material from the black mat, Murray Springs, Arizona. Earth Planet Sci Lett 319-320: 251-258 by Stardust. Science 314(5806):1731-1735. 1148 16. Haynes CV, Jr., et al. (2010) The Murray Springs Clovis site, Pleistocene extinction, and 49. Daode W, Yongheng C (1996) The chemical compositions of Antarctic iron meteorites 1149 the question of extraterrestrial impact. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107(9):4010-4015. and their classification. Advances in Polar Science 7:41-49. 1150 17. Wu Y (2011) Origin and provenance of magnetic spherules at the Younger Dryas 50. Maurette M, Pourchete M, Perreau M (1992) The 1991 EUROMET micrometeorite boundary. PhD thesis (Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH). collection at Cap-Prudhomme, Antarctica. Meteoritics 27:473-475. 1151 18. Mahaney WC, Krinsley D (2012) Extreme heating events and effects in the natural 51. Badyukov DD, Ivanov AV, Raitala J, Khisina NR (2011) Spherules from the Tunguska 1152 environment: Implications for environmental geomorphology. Geomorphology 139event site: Could they originate from the Tunguska Cosmic Body? Geochem Int 49: 140:348-359 641-653. 1153 19. Mahaney WC, et al. (2010) Evidence from the northwestern Venezuelan Andes for 52. McDonough WF (1991) Chemical and isotopic systematics of continental lithospheric 1154 extraterrestrial impact: The black mat enigma. Geomorphology 116(1-2):48-57. mantle. Kimberlites, Related Rocks and Mantle Xenoliths, eds Meyer HOA, Leonardos 1155 20. Mahaney WC, et al. (2011) Fired glaciofluvial sediment in the northwestern Andes: OH (Companhia de Pesquisa de Recursos Minerais, Rio de Janeiro), Vol 1, pp 478-485. Biotic aspects of the Black Mat. Sediment Geol 237(1-2):73-83. 53. Kletetschka G (2001) Electric discharge in carbonaceous meteorites? First Steps in 1156 21. Mahaney WC, et al. (2011) Notes on the black mat sediment, Mucunuque Catchment, the Origin of Life in the Universe, eds Chela-Flores J, et al. (Springer, Dordrecht), pp 1157 northern Mérida Andes, Venezuela. J Adv Microscop Res 6(3):177-185. 157-159 22. Wright FW, Hodge PW (1965) Studies of particles for extraterrestrial origin, Part 4. 54. French BM (1998) Traces of Catastrophe: A Handbook of Shock-Metamorphic Effects 1158 in Terrestrial Meteorite Impact Structures. LPI Contribution No. 954 (Lunar and Microscopic spherules from recent volcanic eruptions. J Geophys Res 70(16): 1159 Planetary Inst. Houston), pp 102–103. 3889-3898. 55. Kletetschka G, Kohout T, Wasilewski PJ (2003) Magnetic remanence in the Murchison 116023. Scott AC, et al. (2010) Fungus, not comet or catastrophe, accounts for carbonaceous spherules in the Younger Dryas "impact layer". Geophys Res Lett 37(14):L14302. meteorite. Meteorit Planet Sci 38(3):399-405. 1161 24. Daulton TL, Pinter N, Scott AC (2010) No evidence of nanodiamonds in Younger-Dryas 56 Wasilewski P, Kletetschka G (1999) Lodestone: Natures only permanent magnet-1162 sediments to support an impact event. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107(37):16043–16047. what it is and how it gets charged. Geophys Res Lett 26(15):2275-2278. 1163 25. Glass BP, Swincki MB, Zwart PA (1979) Australasian, Ivory Coast and North American 57. US Geological Survey (2001) Geochemistry of Soils in the US from the PLUTO Database tektite strewnfields: Size, mass and correlation with geomagnetic reversals and other (USGS, Reston, VA). 1164 earth events. Proceedings of the 10th Annual Lunar Planet Science Conference 58. US Geological Survey (2008) Geochemistry of Rock Samples from the National Geo-1165 (Pergamon, New York), pp 2535–2545. chemical Database (US Geological Survey, Reston, VA), http://mrdata.usgs.gov/ngdb/ 26. Glass BP, Burns CA, Crosbie JR, DuBois DL (1985) Late Eocene North American rock/ 1166 microtektites and clinopyroxene-bearing spherules. J Geophys Res 90(S01):D17S-D196. 59. Koeberl C (1986) Geochemistry of tektites and impact glasses. Annu Rev Earth Planet 1167 27. Glass BP, Huber H, Koeberl C (2004) Geochemistry of Cenozoic microtektites and Sci 14:323-350. 1168 60. Claeys P, Casier J-G (1994) Microtektite-like impact glass associated with the Frasnianclinopyroxene-bearing spherules. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 69:3971-4006. 28. Mathur SC, Gaur SD, Loyal RS, Tripathi A, Sisodia MS (2005) Spherules from the Late Famennian boundary mass extinction. Earth Planet Sci Lett 122:303-315. 1169 Cretaceous phosphorite of the Fatehgarh Formation, Barmer Basin, India. Gondwana 61. Glass BP, Senftle FE, Muenow DW, Aggrey KE, Thorpe AN (1987) Atomic bomb glass 1170 Res 8:579-584. beads: Tektite and microtektite analogs. Proceedings of the Second International 29. Korchagin OA (2010) Metallic microspheres and microparticles in lower Cenomanian Conference on Natural Glasses, ed Konta J (Charles Univ, Prague), pp 361-369. 1171 sediments of the Crimea: Evidence for the cosmic dust event. Dokl Earth Sci 431(Pt 2): 62. Napier WM (2010) Palaeolithic extinctions and the Taurid Complex. Mon Not R Astron 1172 441–444 Soc 405:1901-1906. 1173 30. Smit J (1990) Meteorite impact, extinctions and the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary. 63. Boslough MBE, Crawford DA (2008) Low altitude airbursts and the impact threat. Int Geol Mijnb 69:187-204. J Impact Eng 35(12):1441-1448. 1174 1175 1176 1177
- 1178

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239 1240

0:34

## AUTHOR QUERIES

## AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

- Q: 1\_Please contact PNAS\_Specialist.djs@sheridan.com if you have questions about the editorial changes, this list of queries, or the figures in your article. Please include your manuscript number in the subject line of all e-mail correspondence; your manuscript number is 201301760.
- Q: 2\_Please (i) review the author affiliation and footnote symbols carefully, (ii) check the order of the author names, and (iii) check the spelling of all author names, initials, and affiliations. Please check with your coauthors about how they want their names and affiliations to appear. To confirm that the author and affiliation lines are correct, add the comment "OK" next to the author line. This is your final opportunity to correct any errors prior to publication. Misspelled names or missing initials will affect an author's searchability. Once a manuscript publishes online, any corrections (if approved) will require publishing an erratum; there is a processing fee for approved erratum.
- Q: 3\_Please review and confirm your approval of the short title: Ten million tonnes of impact spherules at 12.8 ka. If you wish to make further changes, please adhere to the 50-character limit.
- Q: 4\_If your article contains links to Web sites (other than the SI links for your article), please verify that the links are valid and will direct readers to the proper Web page.
- Q: 5\_Please confirm accuracy of author Bunch as the sole corresponding editor.
- Q: 6\_Per PNAS style, all footnote symbols must appear in sequential order in the author list. As such, footnote "w" is now "c," and subsequent footnotes relettered accordingly. Please check changes carefully for accuracy.
- Q: 7\_Author West appears with a footnote symbol that does not correspond with an apparent footnote. Please approve deletion or provide a corresponding footnote.
- Q: 8\_Author names may have been edited to match those provided during article submission; please check carefully and note your approval in the margin. (Your article cannot be published until your approval has been received.)
- Q: 9\_Please confirm whether all units/divisions/departments/laboratories/sections have been included in the affiliations line for each footnote symbol or add if missing. PNAS requires smallest institutional unit(s) to be listed for each author in each affiliation.
- Q: 10\_Please ensure that postal codes are included for all affiliation footnotes.
- Q: 11\_Please provide an institutional affiliation for author Kloosterman.
- Q: 12\_An author may be listed as retired only if he/she was actively involved in the work described in the paper before his/her retirement. Please confirm that this requirement applies for authors Hermes and Kloosterman
- Q: 13\_Please define/write out "v.v.i." in Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic footnote.

## AUTHOR QUERIES

## AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

- Q: 14\_Because affiliation "u" contained two institutions, each has been a given footnote letter (now u and v), per PNAS style guidelines, and subsequent footnotes relettered accordingly. Please check changes carefully for accuracy.
- Q: 15\_Please review and confirm the Significance statement. If you request edits, please adhere to the 100-word limit.
- Q: 16\_PNAS mandates unambiguous pronoun antecedents. Please approve change from "they closely resemble known impact materials" to "the spherules closely resemble known impact materials."
- Q: 17\_Tons and tonnes are separate units of measure. Please confirm use of 10 million tons in abstract, and 10 million tonnes elsewhere, and make changes where necessary for accuracy.
- Q: 18\_PNAS allows up to five key terms that do not repeat terms present in the <u>TITLE OR ABSTRACT</u> (which are searchable online). You may add one term. Also, please check the order of your key terms and approve or reorder them as necessary.
- Q: 19\_Please review the information in the author contribution footnote carefully. Please make sure that the information is correct and that the correct author initials are listed. Note that the order of author initials matches the order of the author line per journal style. You may add contributions to the list in the footnote; however, funding should not be an author's only contribution to the work.
- Q: 20\_You will receive a notification from the PNAS eBill system in 1-2 days. Each corresponding author is required to log in to the system and provide payment information for applicable publication charges (purchase order number or credit card information) upon receipt of the notification. You will have the opportunity to order reprints through the eBill system if desired, as well. Failure to log in and provide the required information may result in publication delays.
- Q: 21\_Reminder: You have chosen not to pay an additional \$1350 (or \$1000 if your institution has a site license) for the PNAS Open Access option.
- Q: 22\_Numbered footnotes for body text have been changed to symbols per PNAS style guidelines. Also note that where possible, footnotes have been incorporated into text, per PNAS style. Please check text for accuracy and placement.
- Q: 23\_Please provide page number after reference citation number in text for Boslough et al. (12) quotation.
- Q: 24\_Per PNAS style prohibiting ambiguous pronouns, please identify "they" in "For example, they did not conduct any analyses . . ."
- Q: 25\_Short phrases taken from sources do not need page no. attribution. Please approve change in quotation mark location for Pinter et al. quotation to "identical or nearly identical."

## AUTHOR QUERIES

## AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

- Q: 26\_Please verify that all supporting information (SI) citations are correct. Note, however, that the hyperlinks for SI citations will not work until the article is published online. In addition, SI that is not composed in the main SI PDF (appendices, datasets, movies, and "Other Supporting Information Files") have not been changed from your originally submitted file and so are not included in this set of proofs. The proofs for any composed portion of your SI are included in this proof as subsequent pages following the last page of the main text. If you did not receive the proofs for your SI, please contact PNAS\_Specialist.djs@sheridan.com.
- Q: 27\_Acronyms that do not appear elsewhere in text have been deleted, per PNAS style.
- Q: 28\_Please approve definition/expansion of KPg at first use in text, per PNAS style for nonstandard abbreviations.
- Q: 29\_PNAS mandates unambiguous pronoun antecedents. Please provide an appropriate noun after "this" in "This is consistent with the methodology of . . ."
- Q: 30\_Please check accuracy of tons vs. tonnes (used elsewhere in text).
- Q: 31\_No coauthor H.K. appears in with this article. Please check accuracy of this entry in coauthor list in Methods?
- Q: 32\_Please check tons vs. tonnes.
- Q: 33\_Quotation marks are used at the first appearance of a word or phrase (e.g., "black mat"); they do not appear with each subsequent use of that word or phrase.
- Q: 34\_Changes have been made to Acknowledgments in accordance with PNAS style guidelines. Please check carefully to ensure accuracy.
- Q: 35\_Please add issue nos., if available, for those references missing them.
- Q: 36\_Please review changes made to Fig. 2 legend for accuracy.